1	DAVID L. YOHAI (pro hac vice) ADAM C. HEMLOCK (pro hac vice)		
2 3	DAVID E. YOLKUT (pro hac vice) WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 767 Fifth Avenue		
	New York, New York 10153-0119		
4	Telephone: (212) 310-8000 Facsimile: (212) 310-8007		
5	E-mail: adam.hemlock@weil.com		
6	BAMBO OBARO (267683) WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP		
7	201 Redwood Shores Parkway Redwood Shores, California 94065-1175		
8	Telephone: (650) 802-3000 Facsimile: (650) 802-3100		
9	E-mail: bambo.obaro@weil.com		
0	JEFFREY L. KESSLER (pro hac vice)		
1	A. PAUL VICTOR (pro hac vice) EVA W. COLE (pro hac vice)		
12	MOLLY M. DONOVAN (pro hac vice) WINSTON & STRAWN LLP		
13	200 Park Avenue New York, New York 10166-4193		
4	Telephone: (212) 294-6700 Facsimile: (212) 294-7400		
5	E-mail: jkessler@winston.com		
6	Attorneys for Defendants Panasonic Corporation, Panasonic Corporation of North American, and MT Picture Display Co., Ltd.		
.7		TATES DISTRICT COURT	
.8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
9			
20	In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Master Case No. 3:07-cv-05944-SC	
21	ANTITROST EITIOATION	MDL No. 1917	
22	This Document Relates to:	Individual Case No. 3:14-cv-02510	
23	ViewSonic Corporation v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al., No. 3:14-cv-	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING THE PANASONIC DEFENDANTS' MOTION	
24	02510 Pricture Tubes, Lia., et al., No. 3.14-cv-	DISMISS AND TO COMPEL ARBITRATION	
25			
26			
27			
28	[DDODOCED] ODDED OD ANTINO DAMA COMO DE	EENDANTS' MDI Na 1017	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PANASONIC DE		

1	Upon consideration of the Panasonic Defendants' Notice of Motion to Dismiss and to		
2	Compel Arbitration of Plaintiff ViewSonic Corporation's ("ViewSonic") claims against the		
3	Panasonic Defendants, supporting Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and good cause alleged,		
4	it is hereby:		
5	ORDERED that the Panasonic Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and to Compel Arbitration is		
6 7	GRANTED; it is further		
8	ORDERED that ViewSonic's claims based on its alleged CRT Finished Product purchases		
9			
10	from Defendants Panasonic Corporation, Panasonic Corporation of North America, and MT Picture		
11	Display Co., Ltd. (collectively, the "Panasonic Defendants") is dismissed with prejudice.		
12			
13	IT IS SO ORDERED		
14			
15	Dated:		
16	Hon. Samuel Conti United States District Judge		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PANASONIC DEFENDANTS' MDL No. 1917 MOTION TO DISMISS AND TO COMPEL ARBITRATION Case No. 3:14-cy-02510		